I take it, Delegate Macdonald, your answer in 1 view of the way the question was phrased leaves the Chair 2 in a little doubt. The question was asked whether it 3 meant prior to the date set for trial and you said yes. I wonder if you mean that or prior to the time the trial 5 actually begins. I am thinking of a situation where a 6 case may be on preliminary assignment set for trial on 7 January fifth and not been reached. If you have not 8 moved before that date, is it your intention that you 9 couldn't postpone it even though it may not be reached 10 until January tenth? 11 DELEGATE MACDONALD: My intention, Mr. Chairman, 12 is that it is the date set for trial, but I don't think 13 that is a matter of great moment. I think the Court of 14 Appeals would be able --15 THE CHAIRMAN: Your answer was to be as 16 intended, meaning at a date prior to trial. 17 DELEGATE MACDONALD: That would be up to the 18

Court of Appeals to set out the rule.

DELEGATE BAMBERGER:

Delegate Macdonald if he would yield to a question.

I would like to ask

19

20

21